

**Worth Parish Council**  
**Minutes of the Planning and Highways Committee Meeting**  
**August 1<sup>st</sup> 2016 at 19:30 hrs**

**Present:**

Cllr Gibson (Chairman)	Cllr Curzon (Vice Chairman)
Cllr Albury	Cllr Anscomb
Cllr Cruickshank	Cllr Curzon
Cllr Field	Cllr Lord
Cllr Phillips	Cllr Scott
Assistant Clerk, Miss Vaughan	2 members of the public.

**54. Suspension of Standing Orders:** Mr G. Williams spoke about two street lamps at the end of Woodlands Close, Crawley Down, which were allegedly removed by Miller Homes.

**55. Apologies:** Cllr Blakemore, Cllr Coote, Cllr Dorey, Cllr Webb.

**56. Absent:** Cllr Allen, Cllr Hitchcock, Cllr Larkin.

**57. Declaration of Pecuniary and Other Interests:** None.

**58. Minutes of Planning Committee Meeting held July 18<sup>th</sup> 2016.**

The minutes of the July 18<sup>th</sup> meeting were signed as a true and correct record.

**59. Update on MSDC Planning Committee Meetings:**

- a. **Planning Committee A** – Next meeting, August 11<sup>th</sup>. No documentation available. It was NOTED that DM/16/2330 (development of 30 new dwellings off Hazel Close) would be discussed at this meeting. It was NOTED that the land parcel concerned was subject to an Article 31 directive following the call-in by the SoS of the previous application for 60 dwellings. It was AGREED that Worth Parish Council would send a speaker and would ask for the decision to be deferred until the outcome of the inquiry into the previous application is known. It was AGREED that Cllr Hitchcock would be asked to represent the Council. It was further AGREED that, if Cllr Hitchcock was unable to attend the meeting, Cllr Phillips or Cllr Gibson would attend the meeting and represent the Council.
- b. **Planning Committee B** – Next meeting, August 18<sup>th</sup>. No documentation available.
- c. **District Planning Committee** – Next meeting, August 4<sup>th</sup>. No reference to Worth Parish Council.

**60. Planning Decisions from Mid Sussex:**

Reference	Address	WPC	MDSC
DM/16/2202	12 Westway, Copthorne	Support	Approved
DM/15/4187	55 Burleigh Way, Crawley Down	Object	Refused
DM/16/2059	22 Newlands Park, Copthorne	Support	Approved
DM/16/2272	8 Erica Way, Copthorne	Support	Approved
DM/16/2372	The Grange, Sandhill Lane, Crawley Down	Support	Approved
DM/16/2377	The Grange, Sandhill Lane, Crawley Down	Support	Approved
DM/16/2251	Merrow Cottage, Cuttinglye Lane, Crawley Down	Support	Approved
DM/16/2591	St Aubyn, 6 Wynlea Close, Crawley Down	N/A	Approved

- a. It was NOTED that the appeal of the 167 house development, next to The Duke's Head, Copthorne, had been refused.

**61. Highways issues**

- a. It was AGREED that the Assistant Clerk should contact Mr Speller, of West Sussex County Council, re the street lamps at the end of Woodlands Close.

**62. Progress on Neighbourhood Plans – Crawley Down**

- a. It was NOTED that more printed copies of the plan were needed. It was AGREED to obtain an estimate for printing 10 copies of the plan.

### 63. Planning Applications

It was **resolved** that the observations contained in the attached to be conveyed to the District Council.

<p><b><u>4 Spring Copse, Copthorne, RH10 3XY - DM/16/2909</u></b></p> <p>(T1) Lime tree - reduce the crown by 4m below the previous cut points. Reduce the lateral branches by 1.5m and reshape crown.</p>	<p>Refer to Tree Officer.</p>
<p><b><u>Barnjet, Cuttinglye Road, Crawley Down, RH10 4LR - DM/16/1261</u></b></p> <p>Construction of new wall at the front of property.</p>	<p>SUPPORT- with condition that a line of suitable screening shrubs are planted in front of the wall, otherwise the development will conflict with CDNP policies 05 g) and 08 a.</p> <p>The proposed site is in the Crawley Down Neighbourhood Plan (CDHP) Area. The CDNP is now part of the local development plan and its policies carry full weight. If its policies cannot be considered to be up-to-date then Planning Officers and Councillors should still consider all of its policies when conducting the balancing exercise demanded by para 14 of the NPPF and give them full or very significant weight. The NPPG mandates that the balancing exercise must be fully documented and available for public inspection.</p> <p>WPC are the authors of the CDNP and as such are best placed to judge whether a planning application is in accordance with its vision, policies and definitions. Planning Officers should not contradict the assessment set out above without discussion with WPC.</p>
<p><b><u>20 Abergavenny Gardens, Copthorne, RH10 3RU - DM/16/2908</u></b></p> <p>(T1 -T4) 4 X Oaks. Reduce crowns by 3 metres each</p>	<p>Refer to Tree Officer.</p>
<p><b><u>Crabbet Park Livery Services Ltd, Crabbet Park Equestrian Centre, Turners Hill Road, Turners Hill, RH10 4ST - DM/16/2996</u></b></p> <p>Residential occupation of existing mobile home to house a member of staff in order to provide overnight security for the protection of the premises and horses.</p>	<p>SUPPORT - The proposed site is in the Crawley Down Neighbourhood Plan (CDHP) Area. The CDNP is now part of the local development plan and its policies carry full weight. If its policies cannot be considered to be up-to-date then Planning Officers and Councillors should still consider all of its policies when conducting the balancing exercise demanded by para 14 of the NPPF and give them full or very significant weight. The NPPG mandates that the balancing exercise must be fully documented and available for public inspection. WPC are the authors of the CDNP and as such are best placed to judge whether a planning application is in accordance with its vision, policies and definitions. Planning Officers should not contradict the assessment set out above without discussion with WPC.</p>
<p><b><u>Little Frenches Farmhouse, Snow Hill, Crawley Down, RH10 3EG - DM/16/3025</u></b></p> <p>Erection of a two storey rear extension and associated internal works.</p>	<p>SUPPORT - The proposed site is in the Crawley Down Neighbourhood Plan (CDHP) Area. The CDNP is now part of the local development plan and its policies carry full weight. If its policies cannot be considered to be up-to-date then Planning Officers and Councillors should still consider</p>

	<p>all of its policies when conducting the balancing exercise demanded by para 14 of the NPPF and give them full or very significant weight. The NPPG mandates that the balancing exercise must be fully documented and available for public inspection.</p> <p>WPC are the authors of the CDNP and as such are best placed to judge whether a planning application is in accordance with its vision, policies and definitions. Planning Officers should not contradict the assessment set out above without discussion with WPC.</p>
<p><b><u>Burleigh House Farm, Burleigh Lane, Crawley Down, RH10 4LF - DM/16/3028</u></b></p> <p>First floor extension over existing single storey to provide master bedroom suite and associated works.</p>	<p>SUPPORT - The proposed site is in the Crawley Down Neighbourhood Plan (CDHP) Area. The CDNP is now part of the local development plan and its policies carry full weight. If its policies cannot be considered to be up-to-date then Planning Officers and Councillors should still consider all of its policies when conducting the balancing exercise demanded by para 14 of the NPPF and give them full or very significant weight. The NPPG mandates that the balancing exercise must be fully documented and available for public inspection.</p> <p>WPC are the authors of the CDNP and as such are best placed to judge whether a planning application is in accordance with its vision, policies and definitions. Planning Officers should not contradict the assessment set out above without discussion with WPC.</p>
<p><b><u>Autumn, Felcot Road, Furnace Wood, RH19 2QA - DM/16/3034</u></b></p> <p>New dwelling and garage on site of former dwelling known as Ringwood using existing access.</p>	<p>SUPPORT - The proposed site is in the Crawley Down Neighbourhood Plan (CDHP) Area. The CDNP is now part of the local development plan and its policies carry full weight. If its policies cannot be considered to be up-to-date then Planning Officers and Councillors should still consider all of its policies when conducting the balancing exercise demanded by para 14 of the NPPF and give them full or very significant weight. The NPPG mandates that the balancing exercise must be fully documented and available for public inspection.</p> <p>WPC are the authors of the CDNP and as such are best placed to judge whether a planning application is in accordance with its vision, policies and definitions. Planning Officers should not contradict the assessment set out above without discussion with WPC.</p>

Meeting closed 20:00

Chairman: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_